

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,520	10/17/2000	Heiko Dassow	2345/137	6699	
26646	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HANH N	
ONE BROAD NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	V2	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/673,520	DASSOW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hanh Nguyen	2662	

	Hanh Nguyen	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	_		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 July 2005. A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The proposed encountry of the defeat of the defeat of the design of	baak mulau ka kha akaka ak kilimu a bala	£20	1
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		secause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bed appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	It does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13. Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M Pm/
		HNgu	f

HANH NGUYEN Part of Paper No. 8/2/05 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant 's argument in claim 11 is not persuasive. .

Application/Control Number: 09/673,520

Art Unit: 2662

Attachment

In the remark, page 4, Applicant argues that Adler reference does not disclose transmitting user information via coded voice connection on at least one first section of digital data network and transmitting the user information by a method suitable for the digital data network on at least one second section of the digital data network.

The purpose of the claimed invention is to reduce bandwidth when transmitting data between sending terminal and receiving terminal. The specification as explained by applicant in the previous response in term of bandwidth reduction is that, there are no or less voice (bandwidth) at one or two sections in the digital data network. In Adler, fig. 1, facsimile image (user information) from originating fax 16 is digitized compressed (reduced bandwidth) at node 10, transmitted through internet 24 (transmit in a first section indigital data network) to node 14. Node 14 converts the fax transmission into a different format in accordance with a propriety protocol (a method suitable for digital data network) and transmitted to destination fax 20.

Examiner equate coding user information as compressing because only compressing user information can reduce bandwith. However, it is not clear how specific the term "bandwidth" is meant in the claim.

HANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

tnymer